

United States Patent and Trademark Office

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,489	09/19/2003	Dominique Charmot	J7174(V)	8015
201 75	90 06/02/2006		EXAM	INER
UNILEVER I	NTELLECTUAL PRO	GEMBEH, SHIRLEY V		
700 SYLVAN A	AVENUE,		-	·
BLDG C2 SOUTH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ENGLEWOOD	CLIFFS, NJ 07632-3	100	1614	

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	T					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office And Co.	10/666,489	CHARMOT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shirley V. Gembeh	1614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron te, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 f	March 2006					
	s action is non-final.					
<i>'</i> = <i>'</i> =	,					
closed in accordance with the practice under						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parto Quayro, 1000 C.D. 11, 4	33 3.3. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application	ո.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	· .				
5) Claim(s) 3-10 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>3-10</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	or.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Evaminer				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<u> </u>						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	, ,					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea	* ''					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receive	ea.				
·						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summan					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Pate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

The response filed **March 01, 2006** presents remarks and arguments to the office action mailed **November 12, 2005**. Applicants' request for reconsideration of the rejection of claims in the last office action has been considered.

Status of claims:

Preliminary amendment filed September 19, 2003 has been received and entered.

Claims 1-4 have been amended and claims 5-10 are new.

Claims 1-10 are now pending in this office action.

Claim Objections

Claims 3-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Remarks

Double Patenting

Applicant's arguments, with respect to the double patenting have been fully considered and are persuasive. The terminal disclaimer filed has been approved and the rejections have been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Art Unit: 1614

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. There are alternative ways of interpreting the claim. For example claim 2 can be interpreted as (i) an oral composition comprising polymer formed by co-polymerising a mixture of (ar-vinylbenzyl)trimethylammonium chloride and styrene and N-vinylpyrrolidone, (ii) an oral composition comprising polymer formed by co-polymerising a mixture of (ar-vinylbenzyl)trimethylammonium chloride and n-vinylpyrrolidone.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Applicant traverses: the Mirajkar et al reference does not disclose cationic copolymer. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 have been considered and are persuasive. The rejection has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kumar et al. US 5,468,477.

Kumar et al. teach an oral care composition (see col. 4 lines 12+) comprising an cationic polymer (see col. 7 lines 38+) as a dimethylamino propyl methacrylate and N-vinyl pyrrolidone (see col. 8 lines 14+) in the form of a toothpaste (see col. 4 lines 35-36).

Art Unit: 1614

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-4 have been considered and found persuasive.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shirley V. Gembeh whose telephone number is 571-272-8504. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 -5:00, Monday- Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED

SVG 11/22/05